

**REMARKS**

The foregoing amendment cancels claims 1, 2, 5 and 17-22. Pending in the application are claims 6-8 and 11-13 of which claims 6 and 11 are independent. No new matter is added and no new issues are raised by these amendments. The following comments address all stated grounds for rejection, and place the present application in condition for immediate allowance.

Amendment and/or cancellation of the claims is not to be construed as an acquiescence to any of the objections/rejections set forth in the instant Office Action or any previous Office Action, and is done solely to expedite prosecution of the application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the claims as originally filed, or similar claims, in this or one or more subsequent patent applications.

**35 U.S.C. 103 Rejections**

Applicants thank the Examiner for the close review of the claims and for indicating that claims 6-8 and 11-14 are allowed. The Examiner issues a new rejection of claims 1-2, 5 and 17-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over pages 3-5 of the Bolton reference in view of the Pellegrino reference (U.S. Patent Number 6,149,441). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's position and submit that even in combination the references fail to teach or suggest an applet that generates a graphical user interface displaying a text box, a question, two or more selectable graphical user interface objects for use by a user to interact with the applet, and instructions to a user to enter an answer to the question in the text box, and which automatically provides a correct answer in the text box after the user surpasses a predetermined number of attempts, as recited in independent claims 1 and 17. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that there is no motivation to modify the teachings of the Bolton reference using the teachings of the Pellegrino reference, as required when making a rejection based on a combination of the teachings of two or more references.

However, to expedite allowance of the instant application, Applicants have canceled claims 1-2, 5 and 17-22, obviating the Examiner's rejection.

As recognized by the Examiner, the cited reference does not teach or suggest an applet including a definition file, which indicates a correct answer for a question presented to the user, that is unavailable to the user, separate from the HTML code, so as to prevent the user from obtaining the correct answer by looking at the HTML code, as recited in independent claims 6 and 11. Therefore, all pending claims in the present application are in immediate condition for allowance.


**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If the Examiner deems there are any remaining issues, we invite the Examiner to call the undersigned at (617) 227-7400.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 12-0080, under Order No. SMQ-059 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: March 30, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Kevin J. Canning  
Registration No.: 35,470  
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP  
28 State Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109  
(617) 227-7400  
(617) 742-4214 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant